THE ROYAL
SOCIETY

THE ROYAL
SOCIETY

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS ŏ

**MATHEMATICAL,
PHYSICAL
& ENGINEERING
SCIENCES**

THE ROYAL

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS ŏ

phonological structure matching Concept-to-speech synthesis by

P. A. aylor

OF

doi: 10.1098/rsta.2000.0594 Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 2000 **358**, 1403-1417

Email alerting service

the article or click **[here](http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/cgi/alerts/ctalert?alertType=citedby&addAlert=cited_by&saveAlert=no&cited_by_criteria_resid=roypta;358/1769/1403&return_type=article&return_url=http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/358/1769/1403.full.pdf)** article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this

MATHEMATIC PHYSICAL & ENGINEERI SCIENCES

<http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/subscriptions> To subscribe to Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A go to:

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

ATHEMATICAL

工

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

Concept-to-speech synthesis by Concept-to-speech synthesis by
phonological structure matching E**al structure m**
By P. A. Taylor

Centre for Speech Technology Research, University of Edinburgh,
 Centre for Speech Technology Research, University of Edinburgh,
 EQ South Bridge, Edinburgh EH1 1HN, UK *80 South Bridge, Edinburgh EH1 1HN, UK*

 80 South Bridge, Edinburgh EH1 1HN, UK
This paper presents a new way of generating synthetic-speech waveforms from a linguistic description. The algorithm is presented as a proposed solution to the speech-This paper presents a new way of generating synthetic-speech waveforms from a linguistic description. The algorithm is presented as a proposed solution to the speech-
generation problem in a concept-to-speech system. Off-l guistic description. The algorithm is presented as a proposed solution to the speech-
generation problem in a concept-to-speech system. Off-line, a database of recorded
speech is annotated so as to produce a phonological t generation problem in a concept-to-speech system. Off-line, a database of recorded
speech is annotated so as to produce a phonological tree for each sentence in that
database. Synthesis is performed by generating a phonolo speech is annotated so as to produce a phonological tree for each sentence in that database. Synthesis is performed by generating a phonological tree called the target tree, and searching the database of trees to find node database. Synthesis is performed by generating a phonological tree called the target tree, and searching the database of trees to find nodes that are the same in both trees. A search strategy using target and concatenation get tree, and searching the database of trees to find nodes that are the same in
both trees. A search strategy using target and concatenation costs is then used to
find the optimal sequence of units for the target sentence both trees. A search strategy using target and concatenation costs is then used to find the optimal sequence of units for the target sentence. This paper explains this algorithm, compares it with existing algorithms, and c find the optimal sequence of units for the target sentence. This paper explains this algorithm, compares it with existing algorithms, and concludes with a discussion of future directions.

Keywords: speech synthesis; phonology; unit selection

1. Introduction

1. Introduction
The term *text-to-speech* (TTS) synthesis is used to describe the process of converting
given raw text into synthetic speech. *Concent-to-speech* (CTS) is a term often used The term *text-to-speech* (TTS) synthesis is used to describe the process of converting
given raw text into synthetic speech. *Concept-to-speech* (CTS) is a term often used
for speech synthesis where the input is not text, The term *text-to-speech* (TTS) synthesis is used to describe the process of converting
given raw text into synthetic speech. *Concept-to-speech* (CTS) is a term often used
for speech synthesis where the input is not text given raw text into synthetic speech. *Concept-to-speech* (CTS) is a term often used
for speech synthesis where the input is not text, but, rather, a machine-generated
message. We can think of a TTS system as comprising t for speech synthesis where the input is not text, but, rather, a machine-generated message. We can think of a TTS system as comprising two main components: text analysis and speech generation. The text-analysis component h message. We can think of a TTS system as comprising two main components: text
analysis and speech generation. The text-analysis component has to resolve the ambi-
guities inherent in written text and produce a clean lingu analysis and speech generation. The text-analysis component has to resolve the ambiguities inherent in written text and produce a clean linguistic representation of the sentence to be spoken, e.g. appropriate word stress.

guities inherent in written text and produce a clean linguistic representation of the
sentence to be spoken, e.g. appropriate word stress. In CTS, the situation is very
different. There is no prior input text as such, rath sentence to be spoken, e.g. appropriate word stress. In CTS, the situation is very different. There is no prior input text as such, rather, a natural language generation (NLG) system generates some text from scratch. In o different. There is no prior input text as such, rather, a natural language generation (NLG) system generates some text from scratch. In one of the domains used for this work (see $\S 3$), the task is an intelligent museum tion (NLG) system generates some text from scratch. In one of the domains used
for this work (see $\S 3$), the task is an intelligent museum guide in which descrip-
tions of museum exhibits are generated dynamically accord for this work (see §3), the task is an intelligent museum guide in which descrip-
tions of museum exhibits are generated dynamically according to the interests of
the visitor, taking into account the context of what the v tions of museum exhibits are generated dynamically according to the interests of the visitor, taking into account the context of what the visitor has already seen.
An utterance is generated by the NLG system in response t the visitor, taking into account the context of what the visitor has already seen.
An utterance is generated by the NLG system in response to a query (e.g. 'tell
me more about object X') by using a database of exhibit inf An utterance is generated by the NLG system in response to a query (e.g. 'tell
me more about object X') by using a database of exhibit information. The out-
put of the NLG system is then fed into the synthesizer, which co speech. O put of the NLG system is then fed into the synthesizer, which converts this into speech.
Thus, in the CTS case, there is no text ambiguity: the generator can annotate the

text it produces with the information needed to guide synthesis. For instance, when
the word 'project' is used, the system knows whether it is a noun or a verb, whereas Thus, in the CTS case, there is no text ambiguity: the generator can annotate the text it produces with the information needed to guide synthesis. For instance, when the word 'project' is used, the system knows whether it text it produces with the information needed to guide synthesis. For instance, when
the word 'project' is used, the system knows whether it is a noun or a verb, whereas
a TTS system has to guess this. Information that is v the word 'project' is used, the system knows whether it is a noun or a verb, whereas
a TTS system has to guess this. Information that is virtually impossible to resolve in
TTS can be used quite easily in CTS; as well as wo a TTS system has to guess this. Information that is virtually impossible to resolve in TTS can be used quite easily in CTS; as well as word stress, ambiguities arise in many other areas including pronunciation, phrasing an other areas including pronunciation, phrasing and prosody. In general, CTS leads to
Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A (2000) 358, 1403-1417 (2000) The Roval Society

1403

1404 **P.a. 1998** *P. A. Taylor*
1404 **P.A.** Taylor Downloaded from rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

Figure 1. Text-to-speech and concept-to-speech.

a much richer, more reliable linguistic input to the synthesizer. Figure 1 shows how
TTS and CTS systems have different input components but can use the same speecha much richer, more reliable linguistic input to the synthesizer. Figure 1 shows how
TTS and CTS systems have different input components, but can use the same speech-
generation component. NLG systems vary in sophisticatio **IYSICAL**
ENGINEERING
IENCES a much richer, more reliable linguistic input to the synthesizer. Figure 1 shows how
TTS and CTS systems have different input components, but can use the same speech-
generation component. NLG systems vary in sophisticatio TTS and CTS systems have different input components, but can use the same speech-
generation component. NLG systems vary in sophistication, ranging from systems
that use simple templates to systems that generate text using generation component. NLG systems vary in sophistication, ranging from systems
that use simple templates to systems that generate text using sophisticated linguistic
models. Depending on the complexity of the domain, many models. Depending on the complexity of the domain, many different approaches are
used.
In speech generation, on the other hand, the choice is between two quite distinct used.

approaches. In slot-and-filler synthesis systems, a carrier phrase such as 'the train at In speech generation, on the other hand, the choice is between two quite distinct approaches. In slot-and-filler synthesis systems, a carrier phrase such as 'the train at platform X is now departing for Y' has its slots X approaches. In slot-and-filler synthesis systems, a carrier phrase such as 'the train at
platform X is now departing for Y' has its slots X and Y replaced by a set of pre-
recorded words. While the number of possible messa recorded words. While the number of possible messages may be large, it is finite. This sort of system is often contrasted with 'genuine' speech-synthesis techniques, such as recorded words. While the number of possible messages may be large, it is finite. This
sort of system is often contrasted with 'genuine' speech-synthesis techniques, such as
diphone synthesis, in which arbitrary messages o sort of system is often contrasted with 'genuine' speech-synthesis techniques, such as
diphone synthesis, in which arbitrary messages of any sort can be synthesized. The
advantages of each system are obvious: because the s diphone synthesis, in which arbitrary messages of any sort can be synthesized. The
advantages of each system are obvious: because the slot-and-filler system uses long
carrier phrases with appropriate prosody and naturally advantages of each system are obvious: because the slot-and-filler system uses long
carrier phrases with appropriate prosody and naturally recorded words, it can often
sound excellent; however, it can only speak the range carrier phrases with appropriate prosody and naturally recorded words, it can often
sound excellent; however, it can only speak the range of messages for which it has
recordings. In contrast, while diphone synthesis is cap sound excellent; however, it can only speak the range of messages for which it has
recordings. In contrast, while diphone synthesis is capable of generating the speech
for any input, its quality is considerably worse. The re cordings. In contrast, while diphone synthesis is capable of generating the speech for any input, its quality is considerably worse. The goal of the research described and build a synthesis solution that combines the high quality of the slot-and-filler approach with the flexibility of diphone synthesis. here has been to bridge the gap between these two different synthesis approaches

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A (2000)

"ATTREWATIVE",
{ ENGINEERING
{ ENGINEERING **MATHEMATICAL,**

THE ROYAL

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS p

MATHEMATICAL
PHYSICAL

Ξ

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

Concept-to-speechsynthesis ¹⁴⁰⁵ Downloaded from rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

**ANTIFICAL
ANSICAL
ENGINEERING
CENCES MATHEMATICAL**

THE ROYAL

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

HL

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

Figure 2. Different approaches to synthesis development, adapted from Yi & Glass (1998). In Figure 2. Different approaches to synthesis development, adapted from Yi & Glass (1998). In approach A, unconstrained input is achieved first and then quality is improved. In approach B, near-perfect quality is achieved fi Figure 2. Different approaches to synthesis development, adapted from
approach A, unconstrained input is achieved first and then quality is in
near-perfect quality is achieved first and then flexibility is improved. near-perfect quality is achieved first and then flexibility is improved.
2. Domain-specific synthesis

It is generally accepted in the speech-synthesis field that unconstrained TTS synthesis is the only goal of the field. The culture of processing unconstrained input has come about because even early systems were quite cana It is generally accepted in the speech-synthesis field that unconstrained TTS synthesis is the only goal of the field. The culture of processing unconstrained input has come about because even early systems were quite capa It is generally accepted in the speech-synthesis field that unconstrained TTS synthesis is the only goal of the field. The culture of processing unconstrained input has
come about because even early systems were quite capable of producing reasonably
intelligible speech from unconstrained input. This is po come about because even early systems were quite capable of producing reasonably
intelligible speech from unconstrained input. This is possible in TTS because lexi-
cons and letter-to-sound rules can convert any letter seq **MATHEMATICAL,
PHYSICAL
& ENGINEERING
SCIENCES** intelligible speech from unconstrained input. This is possible in TTS because lexicons and letter-to-sound rules can convert any letter sequence into a phone sequence.
This phone sequence can then be converted into sound u cons and letter-to-sound rules can convert any letter sequence into a phone sequence.
This phone sequence can then be converted into sound using rule- or diphone-based
waveform generation. Of course, the quality of the res This phone sequence can then be converted into sound using rule- or diphone-based waveform generation. Of course, the quality of the resulting speech is much less natwaveform generation. Of course, the quality of the resulting speech is much less natural than human speech, but the fact that even basic systems could handle unconstrained input led researchers to concentrate on improving This situation is somewhat unusual in speech and language processing. For example input task.
This situation is somewhat unusual in speech and language processing. For example

The situation is somewhat unusual in speech and language processing. For exam-
This situation is somewhat unusual in speech and language processing. For exam-
in speech recognition, there has been a very noticeable develop this unconstrained input task.
This situation is somewhat unusual in speech and language processing. For exam-
ple, in speech recognition, there has been a very noticeable development over the
last 30 years, from single-sp This situation is somewhat unusual in speech and language processing. For example, in speech recognition, there has been a very noticeable development over the last 30 years, from single-speaker, isolated-word, low-vocabul ple, in speech recognition, there has been a very noticeable development over the last 30 years, from single-speaker, isolated-word, low-vocabulary tasks to speaker-
independent, large-vocabulary, continuous-speech tasks. last 30 years, from single-speaker, isolated-word, low-vocabulary tasks to speaker-
independent, large-vocabulary, continuous-speech tasks. Roughly speaking, the accu-
racy of speech recognizers over the last 30 years has independent, large-vocabulary, continuous-speech tasks. Roughly speaking, the accuracy of speech recognizers over the last 30 years has not changed much as error rates are usually quoted as being less than 10% . However racy of speech recognizers over the last 30 years has not changed much as error rates
are usually quoted as being less than 10%. However, progress has certainly been
made, because the tasks have been getting steadily harde **J** are usually quoted as being less than 10%. However, progress has certainly been

made, because the tasks have been getting steadily harder.
The question is, therefore, could more progress be made in synthesis by first making
the task easy enough so that more-or-less perfect synthesis is possible, and t The question is, therefore, could more progress be made in synthesis by first making
the task easy enough so that more-or-less perfect synthesis is possible, and then
steadily increasing the difficulty of the task until p the task easy enough so that more-or-less perfect synthesis is possible, and then
steadily increasing the difficulty of the task until perfect synthesis for unconstrained
input is achieved? Yi & Glass (1998) have summed up steadily increasing the difficulty of the task until perfect synthesis for unconstrained
input is achieved? Yi & Glass (1998) have summed up this situation through use of
the graph shown in figure 2. The two previously men input is achieved? Yi & Glass (1998) have summed up this situation through use of the graph shown in figure 2. The two previously mentioned speech-output methods—
unconstrained TTS and slot-and-filler synthesis—are shown the graph shown in figure 2. The two unconstrained TTS and slot-and-fexamples of the two approaches. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

MEERING ATHEMATICA ROYAL

THE 1

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS $\overline{\delta}$

IICAL
IGINEERING *<u>ITHEMATICAL</u>*

OYAL

FF

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

1406 *P. A. Taylor*
This paper presents *phonological structure matching*, a new algorithm that takes This paper presents *phonological structure matching*, a new algorithm that takes approach B. This algorithm is domain specific, in that it is geared towards producing high performance in a limited domain, just as with a s This paper presents *phonological structure matching*, a new algorithm that takes
approach B. This algorithm is domain specific, in that it is geared towards producing
high performance in a limited domain, just as with a s high performance in a limited domain, just as with a speech recognizer. In considering the problem of domain-specific synthesis, some aims and principles were set out

to guide the development of this type of system. It was important that the main limitations of slot-and-filler synthesis were removed. While the vocabulary of domain-specific systems will certainly be specialized, it is si limitations of slot-and-filler synthesis were removed. While the vocabulary of domainto have a fixed vocabulary. Again, with regard to the grammar, more flexibility is specific systems will certainly be specialized, it is simply too prohibitive a restriction
to have a fixed vocabulary. Again, with regard to the grammar, more flexibility is
needed than with the slot-and-filler approach. I to have a fixed vocabulary. Again, with regard to the grammar, more flexibility is
needed than with the slot-and-filler approach. It was also considered important to
build a system that was automatically trainable/adaptabl needed than with the slot-and-filler approach. It was also considered important to
build a system that was automatically trainable/adaptable to new domains. In other
words, a general technique for domain-specific synthesis build a system that was automatically train
words, a general technique for domain-spe
than a solution for a particular domain.
The proposed solution works for specifi ords, a general technique for domain-specific synthesis was the requirement, rather
an a solution for a particular domain.
The proposed solution works for specific domains not by having a predetermined
cabulary or grammar,

than a solution for a particular domain.
The proposed solution works for specific domains not by having a predetermined
vocabulary or grammar, but, rather, by using pre-recorded domain-specific language
data to train the s The proposed solution works for specific domains not by having a predetermined vocabulary or grammar, but, rather, by using pre-recorded domain-specific language data to train the system. In other words, the idea is to *bi* data to train the system. In other words, the idea is to *bias* the synthesizer's viewpoint of what language is to cover the words and constructions found in the given domain.

3. Domain descriptions (*a*) *ILEX museum guide*

 (a) ILEX museum guide
ILEX is an NLG system built to serve as a museum guide. It uses a database of THEX is an NLG system built to serve as a museum guide. It uses a database of museum exhibits that contains a variety of information about each exhibit. Rather than produce a canned description of a given exhibit. ILEX is ILEX is an NLG system built to serve as a museum guide. It uses a database of museum exhibits that contains a variety of information about each exhibit. Rather than produce a canned description of a given exhibit, ILEX is museum exhibits that contains a variety of information about each exhibit. Rather
than produce a canned description of a given exhibit, ILEX is intelligent in that it
delivers unique descriptions of objects depending on a than produce a canned description of a given exhibit, ILEX is intelligent in that it delivers unique descriptions of objects depending on a number of contextual factors. ILEX keeps track of which exhibits have already been ILEX keeps track of which exhibits have already been seen, and, hence, when viewing ILEX keeps track of which exhibits have already been seen, and, hence, when viewing
a room of Roman swords, the system only gives background information for the first
exhibit. As the visitor moves around the exhibits, only a room of Roman swords, the system only gives background information for the exhibit. As the visitor moves around the exhibits, only the particular details of exhibit are explained, and these are often contrasted with prev exhibit are explained, and these are often contrasted with previous exhibits.
(*b*) *Jupiter weather information system*

The Jupiter system is a weather information system developed by the Spoken The Jupiter system is a weather information system developed by the Spoken
Language Systems group at MIT. In response to spoken user queries, the system
finds web-based weather-information systems, analyses their content, The Jupiter system is a weather information system developed by the Spoken
Language Systems group at MIT. In response to spoken user queries, the system
finds web-based weather-information systems, analyses their content, finds web-based weather-information systems, analyses their content, and generates a suitable reply. For this domain, we used 200 typical messages from the system finds web-based weather-information systems, analyses their content, and generates
a suitable reply. For this domain, we used 200 typical messages from the system
as training data. Within these 200 sentences, there were ab a suitable reply. For this domain, we used 200 typical messages from the system
as training data. Within these 200 sentences, there were about 600 unique words.
This domain is interesting in that although weather reports a as training data. Within these 200 sentences, there were about 600 unique words.
This domain is interesting in that although weather reports are often formulaic,
it is still the case that new constructions are occasionally This domain is interesting in that although weather reports are often formulaic,
it is still the case that new constructions are occasionally used. The majority of
vocabulary items are place names, and while the training d it is still the case that new constructions are occasionally used. The majority of vocabulary items are place names, and while the training data cover the names of the most frequently requested places, new names often occu Synthesis component must be able to handle this.
Synthesis component must be able to handle this.

4. Speech data

4. Speech data
The algorithm uses pre-recorded speech from each domain. The ILEX domain used
62 paragraphs of item descriptions. The labelled speech contains over 6000 words The algorithm uses pre-recorded speech from each domain. The ILEX domain used
62 paragraphs of item descriptions. The labelled speech contains over 6000 words
and 22,000 phones. The Juniter data comprise 200 weather report The algorithm uses pre-recorded speech from each domain. The ILEX domain used 62 paragraphs of item descriptions. The labelled speech contains over 6000 words and 22 000 phones. The Jupiter data comprise 200 weather report and 22 000 phones. The Jupiter data comprise 200 weather reports, making about *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

Concept-to-speechsynthesis ¹⁴⁰⁷ Downloaded from rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

**'SICAL
NGINEERING ATHEMATICAL**

HE

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

MATHEMATICAL
PHYSICAL

 C

Œ

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

 6000 words and 17000 phones. In addition to the domain-specific data, 450 TIMIT- 6000 words and 17000 phones. In addition to the domain-specific data, 450 TIMIT-
style sentences (TIMIT 1990) were also used. The utterances were recorded from a
single speaker and hand labelled for phone boundaries. Th 6000 words and 17 000 phones. In addition to the domain-specific data, 450 TIMIT-
style sentences (TIMIT 1990) were also used. The utterances were recorded from a
single speaker and hand labelled for phone boundaries. The single speaker and hand labelled for phone boundaries. The syntactic structure of each sentence was also labelled by hand. A held-out set for each domain was used for testing. In the Jupiter domain, 5% of the test set word each sentence was also labelled by hand. A held-out set for each domain was used $\frac{1}{6}$ data. In the ILEX domain, this figure was 25%.

α domain, this lighte was 25%.
5. Basic phonological structure matching (*a*) *Phonological trees*

(a) Phonological trees
The phonological-structure-matching (PSM) algorithm is based on concatenating
appropriate arbitrary sized units of speech from a database. More specifically, nodes The phonological-structure-matching (PSM) algorithm is based on concatenating
appropriate arbitrary sized units of speech from a database. More specifically, nodes
in a phonological target tree generated by the NLG system appropriate arbitrary sized units of speech from a database. More specifically, nodes
in a phonological target tree generated by the NLG system are matched against
nodes in a set of phonological-database trees in order to appropriate arbitrary sized units of speech from a database. More specifically, nodes
in a phonological-database trees in order to find the biggest units of
speech in the database to concatenate. in a phonological target tree generated
nodes in a set of phonological-database
speech in the database to concatenate.
The database preparation stage is perfo des in a set of phonological-database trees in order to find the biggest units of
eech in the database to concatenate.
The database preparation stage is performed off-line and involves building a phono-
pical tree for each

speech in the database to concatenate.
The database preparation stage is performed off-line and involves building a phonological tree for each utterance. In the current set-up, the phonological tree is con-The database preparation stage is performed off-line and involves building a phono-
logical tree for each utterance. In the current set-up, the phonological tree is con-
structed by combining the metrical tree for the sent logical tree for each utterance. In the current set-up, the phonological tree is constructed by combining the metrical tree for the sentence with the sub-syllabic phonological structure. Metrical trees are binary branching structed by combining the metrical tree for the sentence with the sub-syllabic phono-
logical structure. Metrical trees are binary branching trees whose nodes have relative
metrical strength relations. If a node is labelle logical structure. Metrical trees are binary branching trees whose nodes have relative metrical strength relations. If a node is labelled strong, its sister will be weak and vice versa. The above-word part of the metrical metrical strength relations. If a node is labelled strong, its sister will be weak and
vice versa. The above-word part of the metrical tree is formed by first copying the
syntax structure generated by the NLG system and th vice versa. The above-word part of the metrical tree is formed by first copying the syntax structure generated by the NLG system and then assigning strong and weak nodes according to the nuclear stress rule and other conve syntax structure generated by the NLG system and then assigning strong and weak
nodes according to the nuclear stress rule and other conventions described in Liber-
man (1975). Below the word, a binary branching tree is fo nodes according to the nuclear stress rule and other conventions described in Liber-
man (1975). Below the word, a binary branching tree is formed between the words
and syllables, again according to conventions laid down i man (1975). Below the word, a binary branching tree is formed between the words
and syllables, again according to conventions laid down in Liberman (1975). Below
the syllable, a traditional onset-rhyme structure is formed and syllables, again according to conventions laid down in Liberman (1975). Below
the syllable, a traditional onset-rhyme structure is formed which links the syllables
to the phones. The result is a single tree that comple the syllable, a traditional onset-rhyme structure is formed which links the syllables
to the phones. The result is a single tree that completely describes the phonology of
the utterance from phones to the sentence node. Be to the phones. The result is a single tree that completely describes the phonology of the utterance from phones to the sentence node. Because the timings of the phone boundaries have been marked (by hand), it is an easy ma the utterance from phones to the sentence node. Because the timings of the phone
boundaries have been marked (by hand), it is an easy matter to determine the start
and stop time of any constituent in the tree. An example o boundaries h
and stop tim
in figure 3.
At run-tim d stop time of any constituent in the tree. An example of part of a tree is shown
figure 3.
At run-time, the first task is to produce a *target tree* representing the phonological
vecture of the utterance we want to synthe

in figure 3.
At run-time, the first task is to produce a *target tree* representing the phonological
structure of the utterance we want to synthesize. This is formed in a similar way
to the database trees. The syntactic t At run-time, the first task is to produce a *target tree* representing the phonological structure of the utterance we want to synthesize. This is formed in a similar way to the database trees. The syntactic tree and words structure of the utterance we want to synthesize. This is formed in a similar way
to the database trees. The syntactic tree and words come from the output of the
NLG, which is then mapped into a metrical tree as above. The NLG, which is then mapped into a metrical tree as above. The sub-word part of the *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

 $P. A. Taylor$
tree is created by using a lexicon and the metrical and sub-syllabic structure rules. tree is created by using a lexicon and the metrical and sub-syllabic structure rules.
This tree is called the target tree as it represents the phonological structure of the utterance we wish to synthesize. tree is created by using a lexicon.
This tree is called the target tree
utterance we wish to synthesize. (*b*) *Finding candidates*

 (b) Finding candidates
Given the target tree and database trees, the next task is to match nodes in the
reet tree with those in the database. As each node in the database tree repre-Given the target tree and database trees, the next task is to match nodes in the target tree with those in the database. As each node in the database tree represents a section of recorded-speech waveform, the idea is that target tree with those in the database. As each node in the database tree represents a section of recorded-speech waveform, the idea is that by finding nodes in the target tree with those in the database. As each node in the database tree represents a section of recorded-speech waveform, the idea is that by finding nodes in the database trees that match the target tree, we are effecti sents a section of recorded-speech waveform, the ide
database trees that match the target tree, we are effect
of waveform that can be used in actual synthesis.
First, the root node of the target tree is set to be to tabase trees that match the target tree, we are effectively finding suitable stretches
waveform that can be used in actual synthesis.
First, the root node of the target tree is set to be the current node. The database of
e

of waveform that can be used in actual synthesis.
First, the root node of the target tree is set to be the current node. The database of
trees is then searched to see if any node matches the current node. A match is taken First, the root node of the target tree is set to be the current node. The database of
trees is then searched to see if any node matches the current node. A match is taken to
be the minimum requirement for a potential synt trees is then searched to see if any node matches the current node. A match is taken to
be the minimum requirement for a potential synthesis unit and occurs when the trees
beneath the current and database node match with r be the minimum requirement for a potential synthesis unit and occurs when the trees
beneath the current and database node match with regard to structure, and have the
same terminal nodes (phones). At this stage, other info same terminal nodes (phones). At this stage, other information (such as strong/weak metrical information) is ignored. Each match is added to a list of candidates for the current node. If no matches are found, each daughter same terminal nodes (phones). At this stage, other information (such as strong/weak
metrical information) is ignored. Each match is added to a list of candidates for the
current node. If no matches are found, each daughter metrical information) is ignored. Each match is added to a list of candidates for the current node. If no matches are found, each daughter of the current node is set to be the current node and the process is repeated. In t current node. If no matches are found, each daughter of the current node is set to
be the current node and the process is repeated. In the worst case, the current node
will be a terminal phone node, and there will definite be the current node and the process is repeated. In the worst case, the current node will be a terminal phone node, and there will definitely be matches to that, as all phones are present in the database. The result of thi will be a terminal phone node, and there will definitely be matches to that, as all phones are present in the database. The result of this is a target tree that has some of its nodes labelled as candidate nodes. ones are present in the database. The result of this is a target tree that has some
its nodes labelled as candidate nodes.
Candidates can be any sort of linguistic unit including phones, syllables, words,
rases and even wh

of its nodes labelled as candidate nodes.
Candidates can be any sort of linguistic unit including phones, syllables, words,
phrases and even whole sentences. The top-down search algorithm is designed to
pick candidates hig phrases and even whole sentences. The top-down search algorithm is designed to pick candidates high up in the database tree, which naturally correspond to longer units. There are several benefits in having longer units. In phrases and even whole sentences. The top-down search algorithm is designed to pick candidates high up in the database tree, which naturally correspond to longer units. There are several benefits in having longer units. In pick candidates high up in the database tree, which naturally correspond to longer units. There are several benefits in having longer units. In any type of concatenative synthesis, joins between units can cause distortion, synthesis, joins between units can cause distortion, and, thus, reducing the number
of joins should help improve the quality of the speech. Associated with this is the
basic fact that in concatenative synthesis, 'the whole synthesis, joins between units can cause distortion, and, thus, reducing the number
of joins should help improve the quality of the speech. Associated with this is the **HYSICAL**
ENGINEERING
CIENCES of joins should help improve the quality of the speech. Associated with this is the basic fact that in concatenative synthesis, 'the whole is greater than the sum of the parts' with regard to the nature of phone sequences basic fact that in concatenative synthesis, 'the whole is greater than the sum of the parts' with regard to the nature of phone sequences. For example, while the phonological representation of the word 'tests' may be /t e the parts' with regard to the nature of phone sequences. For example, while the phonological representation of the word 'tests' may be /t e s t s/, the co-articulation of the /s t s/ sequence is extremely complex, and, he phonological representation of the word 'tests' may be $/t e s t s/$, the co-articulation
of the $/s t s/$ sequence is extremely complex, and, hence, it is very hard to decide
where the boundaries between the phones occur. Becaus of the /s t s/ sequence is extremely complex, and, hence, it is very hard to decide
where the boundaries between the phones occur. Because of this, a single unit that
contains this sequence should sound substantially bett where the boundaries between the phones occur. Because of this, a single unit that contains this sequence should sound substantially better than a set of units that follow the phonological pattern. As the tree-matching alg follow the phonological pattern. As the tree-matching algorithm chooses the largest possible units, there is a good chance it would find a match to the word 'tests', and, if not, at least a consonant cluster matching the follow the phonological pattern. As the tree-matching algorithm chooses the largest possible units, there is a good chance it would find a match to the word 'tests', and, not, at least a consonant cluster matching the /s t s/ sequence (e.g. from the word sts').
For higher-level units, other factors make longer units more preferable to concate-
ted sequences of shorter units. Natural rhythm \blacksquare 'rests').

'rests').
For higher-level units, other factors make longer units more preferable to concate-
nated sequences of shorter units. Natural rhythm is one of the hardest properties
of speech to reproduce synthetically, but, by For higher-level units, other factors make longer units more preferable to concate-
nated sequences of shorter units. Natural rhythm is one of the hardest properties
of speech to reproduce synthetically, but, by using unit nated sequences of shorter units. Natural rhythm is one of
of speech to reproduce synthetically, but, by using units tha
rhythm effects can be implicitly modelled within the unit.
The tree-matching algorithm has significan \bigcirc of speech to reproduce synthetically, but, by using units that span several syllables,
 \bigcirc rhythm effects can be implicitly modelled within the unit.

The tree-matching algorithm has significant consequences for

the flects can be implicitly modelled within the unit.
The tree-matching algorithm has significant consequences for domain-specific syn-
thesis. While the utterances in the museum domain cannot be generated by a simple
slo The tree-matching algorithm has significant consequences for domain-specific syn-
thesis. While the utterances in the museum domain cannot be generated by a simple
slot-and-filler mechanism, it is the case that certain st thesis. While the utterances in the museum domain cannot be generated by a simple
slot-and-filler mechanism, it is the case that certain stock phrases—such as 'this is
an example', 'in the sixth century' or 'collector's it slot-and-filler mechanism, it is the case that certain stock phrases—such as 'this is
an example', 'in the sixth century' or 'collector's item'—occur quite often. If the
database has a large amount of domain-specific data, an example', 'in the sixth century' or 'collector's item'—occur quite often. If the

NEERING **ATHEMATICAL**

ATHEMATICAL

DYA

工

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

Concept-to-speechsynthesis ¹⁴⁰⁹ Downloaded from rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

HYSICAL
ENGINEERING MATHEMATICAL

THE ROYAL

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS p

THE

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

Figure 4. Target tree with candidate nodes at different depths. The candidates at each node Figure 4. Target tree with candidate nodes at different depths. The candidates at each node
form a candidate list, shown at the bottom. Each candidate relates to a different section of
speech waveform of the speaker saving Figure 4. Target tree with candidate nodes at different depths. The candidate form a candidate list, shown at the bottom. Each candidate relates to a differench waveform of the speaker saying the information described by t speech waveform of the speaker saying the information described by the node.

(*c*) *Selecting candidates*

 (c) *Selecting candidates*
The target tree contains multiple candidates and, from these, the single best set
units needs to be chosen. Although the candidate units are of arbitrary length **MATHEMATICAL,
PHYSICAL
& ENGINEERING
SCIENCES** The target tree contains multiple candidates and, from these, the single best set
of units needs to be chosen. Although the candidate units are of arbitrary length,
the tree structure ensures that there is no overlap betw The target tree contains multiple candidates and, from these, the single best set
of units needs to be chosen. Although the candidate units are of arbitrary length,
the tree structure ensures that there is no overlap betwe of units needs to be chosen. Although the candidate units are of arbitrary length,
the tree structure ensures that there is no overlap between units, which can be a
potential problem in non-uniform unit synthesis. Figure 4 the tree structure ensures that there is no overlap between units, which can be a
potential problem in non-uniform unit synthesis. Figure 4 shows an example target
tree in which the nodes containing candidates are drawn in potential problem in non-uniform unit synthesis. Figure 4 shows an example target
tree in which the nodes containing candidates are drawn in black. Although node (a)
may have units which are higher level and longer than th tree in which the nodes containing candidates are drawn in black. Although node (a) may have units which are higher level and longer than those for node (b), all the candidates for node (a) end at the same point, and, henc may have units which are higher level and longer than those for node (b), all the candidates for node (a) end at the same point, and, hence, there is no overlap between these and the node (b) candidates. Because of this, a candidates for node (a) end at the same point, and, hence, there is no overlap between
these and the node (b) candidates. Because of this, a linear list containing the set of
units for each candidate node can be created. T these and the node (b) candidates. Because of this, a linear list containing the set of units for each candidate node can be created. This list can be thought of as being made up from a number of slots of arbitrary length, units for each candidate node can be created made up from a number of slots of arbitrary candidates. This is also shown in figure 4.

% candidates. This is also shown in figure 4.
(i) *Target and concatenation costs*

Target and concatenation costs
The basic tree-matching algorithm only performs a rough match between a target
de and a node in the database, and bence there are still substantial differences The basic tree-matching algorithm only performs a rough match between a target
node and a node in the database, and, hence, there are still substantial differences
between the various candidate units for a node. A more de The basic tree-matching algorithm only performs a rough match between a target
node and a node in the database, and, hence, there are still substantial differences
between the various candidate units for a node. A more det node and a node in the database, and, hence, there are still substantial differences
between the various candidate units for a node. A more detailed match is now used to
see how well the candidate units match the target n between the various candidate units for a node. A more detailed match is now used to
see how well the candidate units match the target node. This match looks at factors
such as the strong/weak values in the tree and the po see how well the candidate units match the target node. This match looks at factors
such as the strong/weak values in the tree and the position of the node in the tree
(phrase-final units sound quite different from phrase-*Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

ATHEMATICAL

ROYAL

THE 1

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

1410 P. A. Taylor
to this measure, each candidate unit is assigned a *target cost*, which represents the
distance between the target and candidate unit (a cost of zero indicates a perfect to this measure, each candidate unit is assigned a *target cost*, which represents the distance between the target and candidate unit (a cost of zero indicates a perfect match). iysical
Engineering
Ciences distance between the target and candidate unit (a cost of zero indicates a perfect match).
It is also possible to measure how well two candidate units join together. This is match).

match).
It is also possible to measure how well two candidate units join together. This is
known as the *concatenation cost*. In the PSM algorithm, the concatenation cost is
calculated using phonological and acoustic infor It is also possible to measure how well two candidate units join together. This is
known as the *concatenation cost*. In the PSM algorithm, the concatenation cost is
calculated using phonological and acoustic information. calculated using phonological and acoustic information. Each candidate unit for a given target node will have exactly the same phone sequence at its terminal nodes. calculated using phonological and acoustic information. Each candidate unit for a
given target node will have exactly the same phone sequence at its terminal nodes.
But the phones immediately preceding and following the un given target node will have exactly the same phone sequence at its terminal nodes.
But the phones immediately preceding and following the unit may differ from candidate to candidate. Experience with diphone synthesis has didate to candidate. Experience with diphone synthesis has shown that unit context is important in ensuring smoothing joins. For example, if we have a phone $/X/$ in didate to candidate. Experience with diphone synthesis has shown that unit context
is important in ensuring smoothing joins. For example, if we have a phone $/X/$ in
the context of phones /b/ and /c/, /b X c/, this will jo is important in ensuring smoothing joins. For example, if we have a phone $/X$ in
the context of phones /b/ and /c/, /b X c/, this will join smoothly to a phone /c/
in the previous context of $/X$, e.g. Xcd. Therefore, a sm the context of phones /b/ and /c/, /b X c/, this will join smoothly to a phone /c/
in the previous context of /X/, e.g. Xcd. Therefore, a smooth join can be expected
between a unit /X/ followed by a unit /Y/ if the phone in the previous context of $/X/$, e.g. Xcd. Therefore, a smooth join can be expected
between a unit $/X/$ followed by a unit $/Y/$ if the phone immediately preceding $/Y/$
in the original speech and the phone immediately foll between a unit $/X/$ followed by a unit $/Y/$ if the phone immediately preceding $/Y/$
in the original speech and the phone immediately following $/X/$ in the original speech
are the same. In diphone synthesis, it is also pre are the same. In diphone synthesis, it is also preferable to join phones in their middles rather than at their edges. Given these basic observations, concatenation cost
is calculated by a mixture of phonological information (whether the units are in the
appropriate context, thus allowing joining in their m is calculated by a mixture of phonological information (whether the units are in the is calculated by a mixture of phonological information (whether the units are in the appropriate context, thus allowing joining in their middles) and acoustic information, calculated by measuring the Mahalanobis distance appropriate context, thus allowing joining in their middles) and acoustic information, calculated by measuring the Mahalanobis distance† between the acoustic features of each frame. Mel-scaled cepstra (Rabiner $\&$ Juang ō each frame. Mel-scaled cepstra (Rabiner & Juang 1994), F0 and energy are used for the acoustic features.

(ii) *Search and concatenation*

Selecting the best set of units is a compromise between choosing the units with the Selecting the best set of units is a compromise between choosing the units with the lowest target and concatenation cost. As each unit affects the concatenation cost of the next candidate the selection of candidates canno Selecting the best set of units is a compromise between choosing the units with the lowest target and concatenation cost. As each unit affects the concatenation cost of the next candidate, the selection of candidates canno lowest target and concatenation cost. As each unit affects the concatenation cost of
the next candidate, the selection of candidates cannot be done locally but, rather, has
to be done for the whole utterance. This is achie the next candidate, the selection of candidates cannot be done locally but, rather, has
to be done for the whole utterance. This is achieved by using the Viterbi algorithm.
The candidate list is turned into a lattice by ma to be done for the whole utterance. This is achieved by using the Viterbi algorithm.
The candidate list is turned into a lattice by making a path between each possible
pair of nodes at the boundary between two candidate sl The candidate list is turned into a lattice by making a path between each possible pair of nodes at the boundary between two candidate slots. The Viterbi algorithm moves left to right through this, and, in doing so, it cal moves left to right through this, and, in doing so, it calculates a partial path cost, moves left to right through this, and, in doing so, it calculates a partial path cost,
which is the sum of the target and concatenation costs of units in a given path. The
Viterbi algorithm works by making use of the fact which is the sum of the target and concatenation costs of units in a given path. The Viterbi algorithm works by making use of the fact that for a given unit, only the lowest-cost path up to that point need be used; any oth Viterbi algorithm works by making use of the fact that for a given unit, only the lowest-cost path up to that point need be used; any other paths will never be in the lowest overall path for the sentence. So, only the sing lowest-cost path up to that point need be used; any other paths will never be in the lowest overall path for the sentence. So, only the single best path for each candidate unit need be kept at each point. Once the search t unit need be kept at each point. Once the search terminates, the units forming the it need be kept at each point. Once the search terminates, the units forming the
th with the lowest overall cost are selected.
Given a set of candidate units, the final waveform is constructed by extracting the
weforms cor

path with the lowest overall cost are selected.
Given a set of candidate units, the final waveform is constructed by ext
waveforms corresponding to the chosen units and concatenating them.

6. Analysis of the phonological-structure-matching algorithm

6. Analysis of the phonological-structure-matching algorithm
As diphone synthesis and acoustic-phonetic unit selection are among the most pop-
ular algorithms currently being used it is useful to discuss how they perform a As diphone synthesis and acoustic-phonetic unit selection are among the most pop-
ular algorithms currently being used, it is useful to discuss how they perform against
the PSM algorithm As diphone synthesis
ular algorithms curren
the PSM algorithm. the PSM algorithm.
† An extension of simple Euclidean distance in which each component of the vector is normalized

with respect to its variance.

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A (2000)

Downloaded from rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

H

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

Standard approach to synthesis, where a phonological repres
is mapped into a phonetic one before selection takes place.

is mapped into a phonetic one before selection takes place.
In both diphone and acoustic-phonetic unit-selection synthesis, the waveform-
generation module shown in figure 1 often comprises three phonetic components, In both diphone and acoustic-phonetic unit-selection synthesis, the waveform-
generation module shown in figure 1 often comprises three phonetic components,
which then feed into the unit synthesis component. This is shown In both diphone and acoustic-phonetic unit-selection synthesis, the waveform-
generation module shown in figure 1 often comprises three phonetic components,
which then feed into the unit synthesis component. This is shown generation module shown in figure 1 often comprises three phonetic components,
which then feed into the unit synthesis component. This is shown in figure 5. These
components take the input phonological representation and u which then feed into the unit synthesis component. This is shown in figure 5. These
components take the input phonological representation and use rules or other algo-
rithms to generate a phonetic phone sequence, a duratio components take the input phonological representation and use rules or other algo-
rithms to generate a phonetic phone sequence, a duration in seconds for each phone
and an F0 contour. The phonetic phone sequence is meant rithms to generate a phonetic phone sequence, a duration in seconds for each phone
and an F0 contour. The phonetic phone sequence is meant to represent the sequence
of phones that are actually observable in the waveform. F and an F0 contour. The phonetic phone sequence
of phones that are actually observable in the war
tion, deletion and assimilation are represented.
The output of these components forms a phonetic

phones that are actually observable in the waveform. For example, phone reduc-
on, deletion and assimilation are represented.
The output of these components forms a phonetic representation, which is then fed
the unit-synth tion, deletion and assimilation are represented.
The output of these components forms a phonetic representation, which is then fed
to the unit-synthesis module. If this is a standard diphone synthesizer, the diphones
for t The output of these components forms a phonetic representation, which is then fed
to the unit-synthesis module. If this is a standard diphone synthesizer, the diphones
for the phone sequence are found in the unit database to the unit-synthesis module. If this is a standard diphone synthesizer, the diphones
for the phone sequence are found in the unit database and concatenated. This con-
catenated waveform will have the F0 and duration of th for the phone sequence are found in the unit database and concatenated. This concatenated waveform will have the F0 and duration of the diphones as they were recorded, and this is unlikely to match the phonetic specificati catenated waveform will have the F0 and duration of the diphones as they were
recorded, and this is unlikely to match the phonetic specification of pitch and dura-
tion produced by the F0 and duration-generation module. S recorded, and this is unlikely to match the phonetic specification of pitch and dura-
tion produced by the F0 and duration-generation module. Signal-processing tech-
niques such as PSOLA (Moulines & Charpentier 1990) and tion produced by the F0 and duration-generation module. Signal-processing techniques such as PSOLA (Moulines & Charpentier 1990) and residual excited linear predictive coding (Hunt *et al.* 1989) are, therefore, used to ch niques such as PSOLA (Moulines & Charpentier 1990) and residual excepted predictive coding (Hunt *et al.* 1989) are, therefore, used to change the F0 tion of the concatenated waveform to match the phonetic specification.
 edictive coding (Hunt *et al.* 1989) are, therefore, used to change the F0 and dura-
on of the concatenated waveform to match the phonetic specification.
Diphone synthesis has two main problems. Firstly, the signal process

Co tion of the concatenated waveform to match the phonetic specification.
Diphone synthesis has two main problems. Firstly, the signal processing introduces distortion. Secondly, pitch and duration are not the only factor Diphone synthesis has two main problems. Firstly, the signal processing introduces
distortion. Secondly, pitch and duration are not the only factors that make two tokens
of the same phone sound different. For example, phon distortion. Secondly, pitch and duration are not the only factors that make two tokens
of the same phone sound different. For example, phones in stressed syllables are
different from ones in unstressed position. A proposed of the same phone sound different. For example, phones in stressed syllables are
different from ones in unstressed syllables, and phones in phrase-initial position sound
different from ones in unstressed position. A propos different from ones in unstressed syllables, and phones in phrase-initial position sound
different from ones in unstressed position. A proposed solution to these problems
has been termed *unit selection*, and a number of s *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

this framework (Sagisaka *et al*. 1992; Hunt & Black 1996; Campbell & Black 1996; this framework (Sagisaka *et al.* 1992; Hunt & Black 1996; Campbell & Black 1996; Donovan & Woodland 1995; Breen & Jackson 1998; Black & Taylor 1997; Conkie 1999: Cronk & Macon 1998). HYSICAL
ENGINEERING
CIENCES this framework (Sagisaka *et al*
Donovan & Woodland 1995; E
1999; Cronk & Macon 1998).
While the details differ, the b behind as Woodland 1995; Breen & Jackson 1998; Black & Taylor 1997; Conkie and September 2013. While the details differ, the basic principle behind unit selection is to have multiple annoles of each type of unit (e.g. dip

1999; Cronk & Macon 1998).
While the details differ, the basic principle behind unit selection is to have multiple
examples of each type of unit (e.g. diphones) that have different inherent pitch and
duration. Instead of While the details differ, the basic principle behind unit selection is to have multiple
examples of each type of unit (e.g. diphones) that have different inherent pitch and
duration. Instead of selecting just on phone iden examples of each type of unit (e.g. diphones) that have different inherent pitch and
duration. Instead of selecting just on phone identity as in diphone synthesis, pitch
and duration are also taken into account. The result duration. Instead of selecting just on phone identity as in diphone synthesis, pitch
and duration are also taken into account. The result is a waveform whose pitch and
duration match the phonetic specification more closely duration match the phonetic specification more closely than with diphone synthesis.
From here, systems differ as to whether or not they use signal processing. Those
that do usually only have to make small adjustments, and duration match the phonetic specification more closely than with diphone synthesis.
From here, systems differ as to whether or not they use signal processing. Those
that do usually only have to make small adjustments, and From here, systems differ as to whether or not they use signal processing. Those that do usually only have to make small adjustments, and so the distortion that is introduced is less than with diphone synthesis. Other syst that do usually only have to make small adjustments, and so the distortion that
is introduced is less than with diphone synthesis. Other systems abandon the use
of signal processing altogether, in the hope that the prosody is introduced is less than with diphone synthesis. Only signal processing altogether, in the hope that the waveform is close enough to the specified prosody.
The PSM algorithm can be viewed as a type of property of signal processing altogether, in the hope that the prosody of the concatenated waveform is close enough to the specified prosody.
The PSM algorithm can be viewed as a type of unit-selection algorithm, in that

waveform is close enough to the specified prosody.
The PSM algorithm can be viewed as a type of unit-selection algorithm, in that
it selects from multiple units of the same basic type. Indeed, the use of the Viterbi
algori The PSM algorithm can be viewed as a type of unit-selection algorithm, in that it selects from multiple units of the same basic type. Indeed, the use of the Viterbi algorithm to find the path that best optimizes target an algorithm to find the path that best optimizes target and concatenation cost is taken directly from the Hunt $\&$ Black (1996) unit-selection algorithm. The major difference algorithm to find the path that best optimizes target and concatenation cost is taken
directly from the Hunt & Black (1996) unit-selection algorithm. The major difference
between the PSM algorithm and the others is that PS directly from the Hunt & Black (1996) unit-selection algorithm. The major difference
between the PSM algorithm and the others is that PSM selects on *phonological*
criteria, while the others select on *phonetic and acousti* between the PSM algorithm and the others is that PSM selects on *phonological* criteria, while the others select on *phonetic and acoustic* criteria. With regard to figure 5, the PSM algorithm can be viewed as simply movin criteria, while the others select on *phonetic and acoustic* criteria. With regard to figure 5, the PSM algorithm can be viewed as simply moving the selection criteria up a level in the linguistic hierarchy: the modules in up a level in the linguistic hierarchy: the modules in the dotted box are deleted and A a level in the linguistic hierarchy: the modules in the dotted box are deleted and lection is performed on the phonological representations directly.
The phonetic specification modules can be seen as a process that tran

selection is performed on the phonological representations directly.
The phonetic specification modules can be seen as a process that transforms the
phonological specification into a phonetic one. For example, phonological The phonetic specification modules can be seen as a process that transforms the phonological specification into a phonetic one. For example, phonological information such as phrase-finality is manifested in the phonetics b phonological specification into a phonetic one. For example, phonological information
such as phrase-finality is manifested in the phonetics by the relevant phones having
a longer duration. There are three main reasons why logical information. Firstly, a phonological representation is more compact than a
phonotic one, and this reduces the size of the feature space used in selection. As
the phonotic and phonological representations contain t a longer duration. There are three main reasons why we have chosen to use phono-
logical information. Firstly, a phonological representation is more compact than a
phonetic one, and this reduces the size of the feature spa logical information. Firstly, a phonological representation is more compact than a
phonetic one, and this reduces the size of the feature space used in selection. As
the phonetic and phonological representations contain th phonetic one, and this reduces the size of the feature space used in selection. As
the phonetic and phonological representations contain the same information (one is
just the transform of the other), no loss of power or in the phonetic and phonological representations contain the same information (one is
just the transform of the other), no loss of power or information is involved in mak-
ing this decision. Secondly, the phonetic specificati just the transform of the other), no loss of power or information is involved in making this decision. Secondly, the phonetic specification modules often make errors. If their output is used for selection, matches will be ing this decision. Secondly, the phonetic specification modules often make errors. If
their output is used for selection, matches will be made to inappropriate targets. In
speech-synthesis systems, errors generally multipl

their output is used for selection, matches will be made to inappropriate targets. In
speech-synthesis systems, errors generally multiply throughout processing, so, while
the phonological representation may also contain er speech-synthesis systems, errors generally multiply throughout processing, so, while
the phonological representation may also contain errors, it will generally have the
same or fewer errors than the phonetic representation If the phonological representation may also contain errors, it will generally have the same or fewer errors than the phonetic representation. Finally, these modules take a somewhat crude view of the relationship between ph same or fewer errors than the phonetic representation. Finally, these modules take
a somewhat crude view of the relationship between phonology and phonetics. For
example, all assimilation is performed at a symbolic phone l a somewhat crude view of the relationship between phonology and phonetics. For example, all assimilation is performed at a symbolic phone level. In the previously mentioned examples of the word 'tests', the post-lexical r example, all assimilation is performed at a symbolic phone level. In the previously
mentioned examples of the word 'tests', the post-lexical rules have a choice between
producing /t e s t s/, /t e s/ or /t e s s/, etc. In mentioned examples of the word 'tests', the post-lexical rules have a choice between
producing /t e s t s/, /t e s/ or /t e s s/, etc. In fact, none of these phonetic sequences
really describes the complexities of articul producing /t e s t s/, /t e s/ or /t e s s/, etc. In fact, none of these phonetic sequences
really describes the complexities of articulation involved in the pronunciation of this
word. But, by simply saying 'select a uni G really describes the complexities of articulation involved in the pronunciation of this coverd. But, by simply saying 'select a unit whose *underlying* phonology is /t e s t s/', \bullet the synthesizer does not have to wo sequence.

7. Back-off and signal processing

7. Back-off and signal processing
As previously mentioned, unit-selection systems fall into two groups, those that use
signal processing and those that do not. While it has been interesting to see how As previously mentioned, unit-selection systems fall into two groups, those that use
signal processing and those that do not. While it has been interesting to see how *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

ATHEMATICAL

OYA

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

ATHEMATICAL

Downloaded from rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

**MATHEMATICAL,
PHYSICAL
& ENGINEERING
& ENGINEES**

THE ROYAL

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS p

Œ

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

Figure 6. PSM combined with signal processing.

Figure 6. PSM combined with signal processing.

far one can get without signal processing, there is little chance that this can really

succeed due to the combinatorics of units. For illustration purposes, consider the far one can get without signal processing, there is little chance that this can really succeed due to the combinatorics of units. For illustration purposes, consider the variation required of a single unit. In normal male far one can get without signal processing, there is little chance that this can really succeed due to the combinatorics of units. For illustration purposes, consider the variation required of a single unit. In normal male succeed due to the combinatorics of units. For illustration purposes, consider the variation required of a single unit. In normal male speech, F0 can vary from ca . 80 Hz to 270 Hz, and taking a 10 Hz quantization as bein variation required of a single unit. In normal male speech, F0 can vary from ca. 80 Hz
to 270 Hz, and taking a 10 Hz quantization as being adequate, that means we need
at least 20 units of the same type to represent pitch to 270 Hz, and taking a 10 Hz quantization as being adequate, that means we need
at least 20 units of the same type to represent pitch variation. However, the pitch
is not constant throughout a unit, and so we need units f at least 20 units of the same type to represent pitch variation. However, the pitch
is not constant throughout a unit, and so we need units for rising and falling F0
contours. Assuming this can be modelled by beginning, m **Example 19** is not constant throughout a unit, and so we need units for rising and falling F0 contours. Assuming this can be modelled by beginning, middle and end values, the number of possible units to model all pitch v number of possible units to model all pitch variation is $20 \times 20 \times 20 = 8000$. Duration number of possible units to model all pitch variation is $20 \times 20 \times 20 = 8000$. Duration variation is similar and we might need 15 units to cover this variation (from, say, 50 ms to 200 ms in 10 ms steps). Given that the s variation is similar and we might need 15 units to cover this variation (from, say, 50 ms to 200 ms in 10 ms steps). Given that the smallest practical units are diphones (about 2000 exist in English), we would therefore n 50 ms to 200 ms in 10 ms steps). Given that the smallest practical units are diphones (about 2000 exist in English), we would therefore need $8000 \times 15 \times 2000 = 2400000000$ units, corresponding to hundreds of years of reco (about 2000 exist in English), we would therefore need $8000 \times 15 \times 2000 = 240000000$
units, corresponding to hundreds of years of recorded speech. That said, it is still
sometimes the case that the distortion caused by si units, corresponding to hundreds of years of recorded speech. That said, it is still
sometimes the case that the distortion caused by signal processing is deemed to
be worse than the speech having the wrong prosody. Howeve sometimes the case that the distortion caused by signal processing is deemed to
be worse than the speech having the wrong prosody. However, as signal processing
algorithms improve through further research, the balance will be worse than the
algorithms impro
in their favour.
In acoustic-phe O algorithms improve through further research, the balance will swing back decidedly in their favour.
In acoustic-phonetic unit selection, the application of signal processing is straight-

forward: the signal processing is used to make the concatenated waveform's prosody In acoustic–phonetic unit selection, the application of signal processing is straight-
forward: the signal processing is used to make the concatenated waveform's prosody
the same as that of the output of the phonetic speci forward: the signal processing is used to make the concatenated waveform's prosody
the same as that of the output of the phonetic specification modules. With the
PSM algorithm, the situation is slightly more difficult as t the same as that of the output of the phonetic specification modules. With the PSM algorithm, the situation is slightly more difficult as there is no phonetic specification. As it is unreasonable to require a signal-proces PSM algorithm, the situation is slightly more difficult as there is no phonetic specification. As it is unreasonable to require a signal-processing module that performs phonological modification directly, we use a back-off *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

1414 *P. A. Taylor* Downloaded from rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

ROYAL

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

**ATHEMATICAL,
HYSICAL
ENGINEERING**

HL

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

1414 $P. A. Taylor$
specification procedure similar to that of an acoustic-phonetic unit-selection module,
shown in figure 6. The PSM algorithm works as before, but a record is kept of how specification procedure similar to that of an acoustic–phonetic unit-selection module, shown in figure 6. The PSM algorithm works as before, but a record is kept of how well the best unit for a given slot matches the targe **IATHEMATICAL,
HYSICAL
CIENCES
CIENCES** shown in figure 6. The PSM algorithm works as before, but a record is kept of how
well the best unit for a given slot matches the target phonological representation.
In parallel, a phonetic specification is produced as for well the best unit for a given slot matches the target phonological representation.

In parallel, a phonetic specification is produced as for phonetic–acoustic selection.
A further module is now used to decide what the final prosodic modification should
be. If the phonological match is bad, the prosody fro A further module is now used to decide what the final prosodic modification should
be. If the phonological match is good, the original unit prosody will be kept. If
the phonological match is bad, the prosody from the phone A further module is now used to decide what the final prosodic modification should be. If the phonological match is good, the original unit prosody will be kept. If the phonological match is bad, the prosody from the phonetic specification is used and signal processing performs the necessary modification the phonological match is bad, the prosody from the phonetic specification is used
and signal processing performs the necessary modifications. Usually, the situation is
somewhere between the two, and so a mixture of the un and signal processing performs the necessary modifications. Usually, the situation is
somewhere between the two, and so a mixture of the unit and specified prosody is
used, weighted to take into account the closeness of ph somewhere between the two, and so a mixture of the unit and specified prosody is
used, weighted to take into account the closeness of phonological match, the known
accuracy of the phonetic specification, and the amount of used, weighted to take into account the closeness of phonological match, the known
accuracy of the phonetic specification, and the amount of distortion that the signal
processing will produce. This ensures that a suitable accuracy of the phonetic specification, and the amount of distortion that the signal
processing will produce. This ensures that a suitable compromise between the natu-
ralness of unmodified speech and the desirability of h THE
SOC

8. Performance

8. Performance
No formal evaluations have been carried out yet, but it is worth giving some informal
impressions regarding system quality. The best examples of PSM are a vast improve-No formal evaluations have been carried out yet, but it is worth giving some informal
impressions regarding system quality. The best examples of PSM are a vast improve-
ment on diphone synthesis with regard to naturalness. No formal evaluations have been carried out yet, but it is worth giving some informal
impressions regarding system quality. The best examples of PSM are a vast improve-
ment on diphone synthesis with regard to naturalness. impressions regarding system quality. The best examples of PSM are a vast improve-
ment on diphone synthesis with regard to naturalness. Many of these good examples
are bordering on being indistinguishable from natural spe ment on diphone synthesis with regard to naturalness. Many of these good examples
are bordering on being indistinguishable from natural speech. The reasons behind
this improvement are those laid out above: using longer uni are bordering on being indistinguishable from natural speech. The reasons behind
this improvement are those laid out above: using longer units with natural prosody
and minimal signal processing. At present, the PSM algorit this improvement are those laid out above: using longer units with natural prosody
and minimal signal processing. At present, the PSM algorithm also makes some bad
mistakes, and, hence, the occasional example is worse than and minimal signal processing. At present, the PSM algorithm also makes some bad mistakes, and, hence, the occasional example is worse than diphone synthesis. We feel that these bad examples are more to do with teething tr mistakes, and, hence, the occasional example is worse than diphone synthesis. We
feel that these bad examples are more to do with teething troubles regarding a very
new system rather than anything systematically wrong with

The voice quality of the PSM algorithm is fairly similar to that of acoustic-phonetic unit selection. Where the PSM algorithm really wins is in areas such as rhythm, tim-The voice quality of the PSM algorithm is fairly similar to that of acoustic–phonetic
unit selection. Where the PSM algorithm really wins is in areas such as rhythm, tim-
ing, phrase-final lengthening and intonation. This unit selection. Where the PSM algorithm really wins is in areas such as rhythm, tim-
ing, phrase-final lengthening and intonation. This is again for the above-mentioned
reason that the PSM algorithm models these implicitly ing, phrase-final lengthening a
reason that the PSM algorithm
modules, which make errors.

9. Future work

(*a*) *Phonological structure*

Our choice of phonological structure is by no means optimal. While the use of metrical trees has proved successful, there are many other types of phonological structure described in the literature, and many of these may prove more suitable. The best trees has proved successful, there are many other types of phonological structure
described in the literature, and many of these may prove more suitable. The best
representation for this algorithm is one that describes the described in the literature, and many of these may prove more suitable. The best
representation for this algorithm is one that describes the phonology as accurately
and compactly as possible: an accurate representation wil representation for this algorithm is one that describes the phonology as accurately
and compactly as possible: an accurate representation will cover all the required
affects adequately, and a compact representation will he and compactly as possible: an accurate representation will cover all the required \overline{C} affects adequately, and a compact representation will help in producing tractable \overline{C} cost functions.

(*b*) *Training*

 (b) Training
There are a number of parameters and weights in the system that perform functions
ch as measuring the relative importance of stress versus phrase-finality whether There are a number of parameters and weights in the system that perform functions
such as measuring the relative importance of stress versus phrase-finality, whether
F0 continuity is more important than spectral continuit There are a number of parameters and weights in the system that perform functions
such as measuring the relative importance of stress versus phrase-finality, whether
F0 continuity is more important than spectral continuity such as measuring the relative importance of stress versus phrase-finality, whether F0 continuity is more important than spectral continuity, and how much signal-
processing modification to use. Currently, these are set by processing modification to use. Currently, these are set by hand using informal lis-
Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A (2000)

Concept-to-speechsynthesis ¹⁴¹⁵ Downloaded from rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

EERING ATHEMATICAL

ROYAL

THE

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

**IATHEMATICAL,
HYSICAL**
< ENGINEERING EERING

HH

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS

 $Concept-to-speech \ synthesis$ 1415
tening experiments. This is obviously not ideal, but it is difficult to see an easy altertening experiments. This is obviously not ideal, but it is difficult to see an easy alternative. The fundamental problem is that there is no straightforward relationship between acoustic and percentual measures of speech. tening experiments. This is obviously not ideal, but it is difficult to see an easy alternative. The fundamental problem is that there is no straightforward relationship between acoustic and perceptual measures of speech. between acoustic and perceptual measures of speech. While spectral discontinuity can be measured by taking the Mahalanobis distance of two spectra, this is only a vague indicator of how humans perceive spectral discontinui between acoustic and perceptual measures of speech. While spectral discontinuity
can be measured by taking the Mahalanobis distance of two spectra, this is only a
vague indicator of how humans perceive spectral discontinu can be measured by taking the Mahalanobis distance of two spectra, this is only a
vague indicator of how humans perceive spectral discontinuity at unit joins. Some
recent work (Chappell & Hansen 1998; Plumpe & Meredith 199 vague indicator of how humans perceive spectral discontinuity at unit joins. Some
recent work (Chappell & Hansen 1998; Plumpe & Meredith 1998; Wouters & Macon
1998) has started to focus on the design of perceptually weight recent work (Chappell & Hansen 1998; Plumpe & Meredith 1998; Wouters λ 1998) has started to focus on the design of perceptually weighted acoustic n
and these might be used in the future in training the system parameter and these might be used in the future in training the system parameters.
(*c*) *Candidate selection*

At present, the PSM algorithm attempts to find the biggest possible matches in At present, the PSM algorithm attempts to find the biggest possible matches in the database to a given node. If a match is found, the remainder of the database is searched for other similar matches. If no match is found, At present, the PSM algorithm attempts to find the biggest possible matches in
the database to a given node. If a match is found, the remainder of the database
is searched for other similar matches. If no match is found, t the database to a given node. If a match is found, the remainder of the database
is searched for other similar matches. If no match is found, the units matching the
node's daughters are searched for. Problems can occur if is searched for other similar matches. If no match is found, the units matching the node's daughters are searched for. Problems can occur if only a small number of matches are found for a node and none of those matches are node's daughters are searched for. Problems can occur if only a small number of matches are found for a node and none of those matches are particularly good. In these cases, it may have been better to use smaller units tha matches are found for a node and none of those matches are particularly good. In these cases, it may have been better to use smaller units that match the target better.
Current work is looking at ways of extending the sear these cases, it may have been better to use smaller units that match the target better.
Current work is looking at ways of extending the search past a node with candidates
to find candidates for its daughters. During selec Current work is looking at ways of extending the search past a node with candidates
to find candidates for its daughters. During selection, the relative merits of long units
with high target costs are then matched against to find candidates for its daughters. During selection, the relative
with high target costs are then matched against shorter units with
but which have an extra concatenation cost between the units. but which have an extra concatenation cost between the units.
(*d*) *Measurements of task difficulty*

It would be useful to have a measure of how difficult a particular domain is, as this It would be useful to have a measure of how difficult a particular domain is, as this could give an indication of expected quality from a system used in this domain. We have not as yet come up with any firm decisions rega It would be useful to have a measure of how difficult a particular domain is, as this could give an indication of expected quality from a system used in this domain. We have not, as yet, come up with any firm decisions reg could give an indication of expected quality from a system used in this domain. We
have not, as yet, come up with any firm decisions regarding this, but it seems possible
that the measures of vocabulary size and perplexity have not, as yet, come up with any firm decisions regarding this, but it seems possible
that the measures of vocabulary size and perplexity used in speech recognition could
be adopted. Perplexity is a measure of word entro that the measures of vocabulary size and perplexity used in speech recognition could
be adopted. Perplexity is a measure of word entropy and measures the amount of
regularity in a corpus. This is a useful indicator in synt be adopted. Perplexity is a measure of word entropy and measures the amount of regularity in a corpus. This is a useful indicator in synthesis because of joins between units. If the perplexity of a domain is low, the numbe regularity in a corpus. This is a useful indicator in synthesis because of joins between
units. If the perplexity of a domain is low, the number of possible word sequences
will be lower, and, hence, the chance of units bei units. If the perplexity of a domain is low, the number of possible word sequences will be lower, and, hence, the chance of units being found with the appropriate phonological context is higher.

(*e*) *Text-to-speech*

The reason the PSM algorithm has been put forward as a useful solution to CTS The reason the PSM algorithm has been put forward as a useful solution to CTS
is that it is easily adaptable to a given domain. However, the algorithm also works
in standard TTS synthesis. The TTS problem can be seen in P The reason the PSM algorithm has been put forward as a useful solution to CTS
is that it is easily adaptable to a given domain. However, the algorithm also works
in standard TTS synthesis. The TTS problem can be seen in PS is that it is easily adaptable to a given domain. However, the algorithm also works
in standard TTS synthesis. The TTS problem can be seen in PSM terms as simply
having a much larger domain, and, hence, the training data s \Box in standard TTS synthesis. The TTS problem can be seen in PSM terms as simply having a much larger domain, and, hence, the training data should cover a wide variety of text styles rather than being domain specific. T having a much larger domain, and, hence, the training data should cover a wide
variety of text styles rather than being domain specific. The output speech quality
is obviously worse for TTS than for CTS; firstly because th variety of text styles rather than being domain specific. The output speech quality
is obviously worse for TTS than for CTS; firstly because the domain is bigger, and
secondly because of errors in text analysis. However, t is obviously worse for TTS than for CTS; firstly because the domain is bigger, and
secondly because of errors in text analysis. However, the basic strength of the PSM
algorithm (that it uses phonological rather than phonet Secondly because of errors in text analysis. However, the basic strength of the PSM algorithm (that it uses phonological rather than phonetic-acoustic selection criteria) will also be true in a TTS task.

A substantial part of this work was carried out while the author was a visiting researcher at the A substantial part of this work was carried out while the author was a visiting researcher at the Department of Speech, Music and Hearing at KTH Stockholm. The author expresses his thanks to Bigra Granström for making that A substantial part of this work was carried out while the author was a visiting researcher at the
Department of Speech, Music and Hearing at KTH Stockholm. The author expresses his thanks
to Björn Granström for making that Department of Speech, Music an
to Björn Granström for making
experience there so rewarding. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A (2000)

1416 *P. A. Taylor* Downloaded from rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

The author also thanks Alan Black and Richard Caley for many useful discussions regarding
The author also thanks Alan Black and Richard Caley for many useful discussions regarding
s work .Joe Polifroni and Janet Hitzeman **IATHEMATICAL,
HYSICAL
¿ ENGINEERING
CIENCES** The author also thanks Alan Black and Richard Caley for many useful discussions regarding
this work. Joe Polifroni and Janet Hitzeman deserve thanks for generating the Jupiter and ILEX
sentence training sets, respectively this work. Joe Polifroni and Janet Hitzeman deserve thanks for generating the Jupiter and ILEX sentence training sets, respectively.

This work was partly funded by the UK Engineering and Physical Science Research Council (grants GR/L53250 and GR/K54229).

References

- **References**
Black, A. & Taylor, P. 1997 Automatically clustering similar units for unit selection in speech
synthesis In Eurospeech '97 Bhodes Greece you 2 pp. 601–604 seck, A. & Taylor, P. 1997 Automatically clustering similar units for synthesis. In *Eurospeech '97, Rhodes, Greece*, vol. 2, pp. 601–604.
- Brack, A. & Taylor, T. 1997 Addonaldedary clustering similar units for different synthesis. In Eurospeech '97, Rhodes, Greece, vol. 2, pp. 601–604.
Breen, A. P. & Jackson, P. 1998 A phonologically motivated method of sele units. In *Lurospeech '97*, *Rhodes*, Greece, vol. 2, pp. 601–604.

Breen, A. P. & Jackson, P. 1998 A phonologically motivated method of selecting non-uniform

units. In *Int. Conf. Speech and Language Processing '98*.

Ca
- units. In *Int. Conj. Speech and Language Processing '98*.
Impbell, N. & Black, A. 1996 Prosody and the selection of source units for concatenative syn-
thesis. In *Progress in speech synthesis* (ed. J. van Santen, R. Spro mpbell, N. & Black, A. I.
thesis. In *Progress in spe*
pp. 279–282. Springer.
- pp. 279–282. Springer.
Chappell, D. T. & Hansen, J. H. L. 1998 Spectral smoothing for concatenative speech synthesis.
In *Int. Conf. Speech and Language Processing '98*. pp. 279–282. Springer.

Chappell, D. T. & Hansen, J. H. L. 1998 Spectral smoothing for concatenative speech synthesis.

In *Int. Conf. Speech and Language Processing '98*.

Conkie, A. 1999 A robust unit selection system fo
- *tical Society of America.*

Conkie, A. 1999 A robust unit selection system for speech synthesis. In 137th Mtg of the Acoustical Society of America.

Cronk, A. & Macon, M. 1998 Optimized stopping criteria for tree-based un
- catenative synthesis. In *Int. Conf. Speech and Language Processing '98*.
Donovan, R. & Woodland, P. 1995 Improvements in an HMM-based speech synthesiser. In
Eurospeech '95 Modrid Spoin vol. 1 pp. 573–576
- *Extenative synthesis.* In *Int. Conj. Speech and Langue*

movan, R. & Woodland, P. 1995 Improvements in
 Eurospeech '95, Madrid, Spain, vol. 1, pp. 573–576.
- Eurospeech '95, Madrid, Spain, vol. 1, pp. 573–576.
Hunt, A. J. & Black, A. W. 1996 Unit selection in a concatenative speech synthesis system
using a large speech database. In Int. Cont. Acoustics Speech and Signal Process Eurospeech '95, Maarid, Spain, vol. 1, pp. 573–576.
unt, A. J. & Black, A. W. 1996 Unit selection in a concatenative speech synthesis system
using a large speech database. In *Int. Conf. Acoustics Speech and Signal Process* using a large speech database. In *Int. Conf. Acoustics Speech and Signal Processing*, vol. 1, pp. 373–376. IEEE.
- Hunt, M., Zwierynski, D. & Carr, R. 1989 Issues in high quality LPC analysis and synthesis. In *Eurospeech '89*, pp. 348-351.
- **IATHEMATICAL,
HYSICAL
< ENGINEERING
CIENCES** Liberman, M. 1975 The intonational system of English. PhD thesis, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA.
Liberman, M. 1975 The intonational system of English. PhD thesis, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA.
Published by Indiana University Lineuist Eurospeech 89, pp. 348–351.

Derman, M. 1975 The intonational system of English.

Published by Indiana University Linguistics Club.
	- Moulines, E. & Charpentier, N. 1990 Pitch-synchronous waveform processing techniques for
Moulines, E. & Charpentier, N. 1990 Pitch-synchronous waveform processing techniques for
text-to-speech synthesis using diphones. Spe Published by Indiana University Linguistics Club.

	bulines, E. & Charpentier, N. 1990 Pitch-synchronous waveform proce

	text-to-speech synthesis using diphones. *Speech Commun.* 9, 453–467.
	- Plumpe, M. & Meredith, S. 1998 Which is more important in a concatenative speech synthesis
extending M. & Meredith, S. 1998 Which is more important in a concatenative speech synthesis
extending the duration or spectral di text-to-speech synthesis using diphones. Speech Commun. 9, 453-467.
umpe, M. & Meredith, S. 1998 Which is more important in a concatenative speech synthesis
system—pitch duration or spectral discontinuity. In *3rd ESCA/IEE System—pitch duration or spectral discontinuity. In 3rd ESCA/IEEE Workshop on Speech Synthesis, Jenolan Caves, pp. 231–326.*
	- Rabiner, L. R. & Juang, B.-H. 1994 *Fundamentals of speech recognition*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
	- Sagisaka, Y., Kaiki, N., Iwahashi, N. & Mimura, K. 1992 ATR— ν -TALK speech synthesis sys-
Sagisaka, Y., Kaiki, N., Iwahashi, N. & Mimura, K. 1992 ATR— ν -TALK speech synthesis sys-
tem In Proc. Int. Cont. Speech and Lan Prentice-Hall.
gisaka, Y., Kaiki, N., Iwahashi, N. & Mimura, K. 1992 ATR— ν -TALK speech syntl
tem. In *Proc. Int. Conf. Speech and Language Processing '92*, vol. 1, pp. 483–486.
MIT 1999 The DARDA TRAIT And the New York. tem. In *Proc. Int. Conf. Speech and Language Processing '92*, vol. 1, pp. 483–486.
TIMIT 1990 The DARPA TIMIT Acoustic–Phonetic Continuous Speech Corpus. Collected by
	- tem. in *T roc. mt.* Conf. Speech and Eangalge T rocessing 32, vol. 1, pp. 463–460.
MIT 1990 The DARPA TIMIT Acoustic–Phonetic Continuous Speech Corpus. Collected by
the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Stanfor MIT 1990 The DARPA TIMIT Acoustic–Phonetic Continuous Speech Corpus. Collected by
the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Stanford Research Institute (SRI), and
Texas Instruments (TI). The printed documentation is 100354).

Texas instruments (11). The primed documentation is also available from NTIS (NTIST B91-
100354).
Wouters, J. & Macon, M. W. 1998 A perceptual evaluation of distance measures for concatena-
tive speech. In *Int. Conf. Spe* 100354).
Wouters, J. & Macon, M. W. 1998 A perceptual evaluation of distance measures for concatena-
tive speech. In *Int. Conf. Speech and Language Processing '98*.
Yi, J. R. W. & Glass, J. R. 1998 Natural sounding speech

tive speech. In *Int. Conf. Speech and Language Processing '98*.

Yi, J. R. W. & Glass, J. R. 1998 Natural sounding speech synthesis using variable length units.

In *Int. Conf. Speech and Language Processing '98*.

ROYAL

THE R
SOCI

PHILOSOPHICAL
TRANSACTIONS C

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A (2000)

Concept-to-speechsynthesis ¹⁴¹⁷

Discussion

J. COLEMAN (*University of Oxford, UK*). The method seeks to reduce the size of the

space by adding phonological constraints, but it adds size by allowing alternatives. B space by adding phonological constraints, but it adds size by allowing alternatives.
Does this cause a data-sparsity problem? J. COLEMAN (*University of Oxford*, *UK*). The space by adding phonological constraints, by Does this cause a data-sparsity problem?

space by adding phonological constraints, but it adds size by allowing alternatives.
Does this cause a data-sparsity problem?
P. A. TAYLOR. Data sparsity is a factor, and the problem increases with the richness
of descript P. A. TAYLOR. Data sparsity is a factor, and the problem increases with the richness
of description in the tree. It is an optimization task to include just enough complexity
in the model. The problem is interesting as an i of description in the tree. It is an optimization task to include just enough complexity in the model. The problem is interesting as an investigation in phonology, since it amounts to finding an optimal (non-redundant) phonological representation.

UNREPORTED SPEAKER. Does the global approach lead to rapid combinatorial explo- Ξ sion?

UNREPORTED SPEAKER. Does the global approach lead to rapid combinatorial explosion?
P. A. TAYLOR. This is a potential danger. Modelling dependences between all dimen-
sions would be problematic. However, dimensions are oft sion.
P. A. TAYLOR. This is a potential danger. Modelling dependences between all dimensions would be problematic. However, dimensions are often orthogonal, so that inde-
pendence can be assumed. P. A. TAYLOR. This is a pote
sions would be problematic.
pendence can be assumed. k. Sions would be problematic. However, dimensions are often orthogonal, so that independence can be assumed.
K. R. McKeown (*Columbia University, New York, USA*). How is prosody com-

bined with the approach? In particular, are different trees used for different prosodic K. R. MCKEOWN (*Columbia University*, *New Yori*
bined with the approach? In particular, are different
contexts? Or is the tree structure itself modified?

bined with the approach? In particular, are different trees used for different prosodic
contexts? Or is the tree structure itself modified?
P. A. TAYLOR. Both of these methods can be used. However, there is often nothing
i P. A. TAYLOR. Both of these methods can be used. However, there is often nothing
in the database that matches the desired output. In this case, a back-off system is
used to model the prosody directly using f_0 generatio P. A. TAYLOR. Both of these methods can be used. However, there is often nothin
in the database that matches the desired output. In this case, a back-off system
used to model the prosody directly using f_0 generation an in the database that matches the desired output. In this case, a back-off system is
used to model the prosody directly using f_0 generation and duration prediction.
B. GRAINGER *(IBM, UK)*. What is the average number of

seams?

P. A. Taylor. The variance is high, with segment lengths ranging from 1 to about 30 phones. The variance is high, with segment lengths ranging from 1 to about 30 phones. For out-of-vocabulary words, the length is typically a couple of phones or if lucky a couple of syllables P. A. TAYLOR. The variance is high 30 phones. For out-of-vocabulary
or, if lucky, a couple of syllables. or, if lucky, a couple of syllables.
J. COLEMAN. One of the advantages of concatenative synthesis is that the acoustic

boundaries occur within the units. In your work, there is an implicit assumption
that there is a correlation between the parsing trees and the domains in which co-J. COLEMAN. One of the advantages of concatenative synthesis is that the acoustic boundaries occur within the units. In your work, there is an implicit assumption that there is a correlation between the parsing trees and t boundaries occur with
that there is a correlarticulation occurs. $\frac{P}{P}$ that there is a correlation between the parsing trees and the domains in which co-
articulation occurs.
 \blacktriangle P. A. TAYLOR. An implicit assumption is indeed being made: within any constituent,

P. A. TAYLOR. An implicit assumption is indeed being made: within any constituent,
co-articulation within that constituent is greater than between that constituent and
the next. This assumption is more true than not true, P. A. TAYLOR. An implicit assumption is indeed being made: within any constituent, co-articulation within that constituent is greater than between that constituent and the next. This assumption is more true than not true, co-articulation wi
the next. This ass
to hold strictly.

I. CARROLL (*University of Sussex, Brighton, UK*). Is the same person needed to
J. CARROLL (*University of Sussex, Brighton, UK*). Is the same person needed to
record all the training data? If so, does this create a proble J. CARROLL (*University of Sussex, Brighton, UK*). Is the same person needed to record all the training data? If so, does this create a problem for later changes to the system? \bigcup_{system} ?

record all the training data! If so, does this create a problem for later changes to the
system?
P. A. TAYLOR. A single person is used to record all the data, but later changes can
be made by recombining existing data to c Be made by recombining existing data to create new data, but later changes can
be made by recombining existing data to create new data. This cannot be done in
standard systems P. A. TAYLOR. A s
be made by recomb
standard systems.

ERING

**IATHEMATICAL,
HYSICAL**
< ENGINEERING EERING

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A (2000)